

A Renormalisation Approach to Effective Interactions in Hilbert Space

Jean Richert

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, UMR 7085 CNRS/ULP,
Université Louis Pasteur, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex,

France

(Dated: February 9, 2008)

The low-lying bound states of a microscopic quantum many-body system of n particles and the related physical observables can be worked out in a truncated n -particle Hilbert space. We present here a non-perturbative analysis of this problem which relies on a renormalisation concept and work out the link with perturbative approaches.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w 05.70.Fh 24.10.Cn

The ab initio construction of a rigorous quantum many-body theory able to describe bound particle systems like molecules, atoms, aggregates and atomic nuclei has developed over a long period of time starting in the sixties [1]. The quantities of interest are the spectroscopic properties of low-lying many-body states of the systems, their energies and wavefunctions from which it is possible to obtain informations about relevant physical quantities like multipole moments, electromagnetic transition rates, spectroscopic factors and other experimentally accessible observables.

In practice the explicit resolution of the problem necessitates the diagonalisation of the many-body Hamiltonian in Hilbert space which is spanned by a complete set of basic states, in principle of infinite dimension, in any case generally very large. The information of interest is however restricted to the knowledge of a few energetically low-lying states which often possess collective properties. Hence it would be convenient to work in a finite truncated subspace of the original Hilbert space. Since the physical properties of the system should not depend on the dimensionality of the space of states the original Hamiltonian has to be redefined and gets an effective Hamiltonian which acts in the restricted space. The modification corresponds to a renormalisation of the k -body (generally $k=1,2$) interactions which govern the system.

Many different renormalisation procedures have been proposed and successfully applied in the framework of the shell model and related microscopic descriptions. Rigorous projection methods lead to effective Hamiltonians which can in principle be explicitly generated by means of perturbation techniques [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Unfortunately there exists no straightforward control on the convergence properties of the perturbation expansions, especially not when the interaction between the particles is strong as it is the case in atomic nuclei for instance [6]. Many attempts have been made in order to overcome this problem [7, 8, 9]. More pragmatic procedures have also been introduced such as two-body matrix elements corresponding to truncated perturbation expansion contributions whose use may be justified by means of physical arguments [10]. Even more phenomenologically the inter-

action has been parametrised in terms of 2-body matrix elements which are fitted in such a way as to reproduce an arbitrarily selected number of experimental quantities [11]. Very recently effective 2-body interactions have been constructed by means of a non-perturbative renormalisation technique which cuts-off the large momentum components of the interaction [12]. Consequently this procedure eliminates the effects of the strong repulsion at short distances which affect essentially the high-excited states of the spectrum.

All these methods are phenomenological and adapted to explicit calculations. However they do not tackle the problem related to the breakdown of perturbation methods in specific but frequently encountered situations. This is the case in the framework of the projection method when two states belonging to different subspaces come arbitrarily close to each other [13].

The investigations which follow are developed in the spirit of former work based on renormalization concepts [14, 15, 16, 17]. We introduce a non-perturbative formulation of the bound state many-body problem. It grounds on the dimensional reduction of Hilbert space which generates an effective Hamiltonian characterized by running coupling constants. The use of projection methods allows to link the present approach to perturbative methods. We show explicitly the connection between the divergence of perturbation expansions and the concept of fixed points.

Formal framework. Consider a system with a fixed but arbitrary number of bound particles in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ of dimension N governed by a Hamiltonian $H^{(N)}(g_1^{(N)}, g_2^{(N)}, \dots, g_p^{(N)})$ where $\{g_1^{(N)}, g_2^{(N)}, \dots, g_p^{(N)} \mapsto g^{(N)}\}$ are a set of parameters (coupling constants) which enter the expression of the interaction operators in $H^{(N)}$. The eigenvectors $|\Psi_i^{(N)}(g^{(N)})\rangle$ $\{i = 1, \dots, N\}$ span the Hilbert space and are the solutions of the Schroedinger equation

$$H^{(N)}(g^{(N)})|\Psi_i^{(N)}(g^{(N)})\rangle = \lambda_i(g^{(N)})|\Psi_i^{(N)}(g^{(N)})\rangle. \quad (1)$$

The solutions are obtained by means of a diagonalisation which fixes both the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i(g^{(N)}), i = 1, \dots, N\}$

and eigenvectors $\{|\Psi_i^{(N)}(g^{(N)})\rangle, i = 1, \dots, N\}$ in terms of a linear combination of orthogonal basis states $\{|\Phi_i\rangle, i = 1, \dots, N\}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{H}^{(N)} = N$ is generally very large if not infinite and the information needed reduces to a finite part of the spectrum it makes sense to try to restrict the space dimensions such that the physical quantities related to the part of the system which is of interest remain the same as those obtained in the original space $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$. If the relevant quantities of interest are for instance M eigenvalues out of the set $\{\lambda_i(g^{(N)})\}$ then

$$H^{(M)}(g^{(M)})|\Psi_i^{(M)}(g^{(M)})\rangle = \lambda_i(g^{(M)})|\Psi_i^{(M)}(g^{(M)})\rangle \quad (2)$$

with the constraints

$$\lambda_i(g^{(M)}) = \lambda_i(g^{(N)}) \quad (3)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, M$. Eq. (3) implies relations between the sets of coupling constants $g^{(M)}$ and $g^{(N)}$

$$g_k^{(M)} = f_k(g_1^{(N)}, g_2^{(N)}, \dots, g_p^{(N)}) \quad (4)$$

with $k = 1, \dots, p$. The solution of this set of equations generates a new, effective Hamiltonian whose spectrum in the restricted space $\mathcal{H}^{(M)}$ is the same as the corresponding one in the original complete space.

System at temperature $T = 0$. We now develop a general approach which allows to follow the evolution of the effective Hamiltonian of the system when the dimensions of the Hilbert space are systematically reduced. Using the Feshbach formalism [18, 19] we divide the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ into two subspaces, $P\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ and $Q\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ with

$$\dim P\mathcal{H}^{(N)} = N - 1, \quad \dim Q\mathcal{H}^{(N)} = 1. \quad (5)$$

In the projected subspace $P\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ the system with energy E is described by the effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{eff}(E) = PHP + PHQ(E - QHQ)^{-1}QHP. \quad (6)$$

where we define

$$H = H_0 + gH_1 \quad (7)$$

The set of basis states $\{|\Phi_i\rangle, i = 1, \dots, N\}$ are the eigenvectors of H_0 with the corresponding eigenvalues $\{\epsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, N\}$. The expression $H_{eff}(E)$ is generally the starting point of theories which use perturbation expansions [1]. Here we proceed differently. We consider

$$P|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i^{(N)}(g^{(N)})|\Phi_i\rangle \quad (8)$$

which is the projection in $P\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ of an eigenvector

$$|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^{(N)}(g^{(N)})|\Phi_i\rangle \quad (9)$$

of $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$. If $\lambda_1^{(N)}$ is the eigenvalue corresponding to $|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle$ we look for the solution of

$$H_{eff}(\lambda_1^{(N)})P|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle = \lambda_1^{(N)}P|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle. \quad (10)$$

We consider $P|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle$ to be the lowest eigenstate and $Q\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ to contain f. i. the highest one. We impose the lowest eigenvalue in the $P\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ subspace to be the same as the one in the complete space

$$\lambda_1^{(N-1)} = \lambda_1^{(N)}. \quad (11)$$

Projecting this expression on $\langle\Phi_1|$ gives a relation which fixes $g^{(N-1)}$, the coupling constant which characterises the strength of the interaction in the $P\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ subspace in such a way that Eq. (11) is verified

$$\langle\Phi_1|H_{eff}(\lambda_1^{(N)})P|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle = \lambda_1^{(N)}(g^{(N)})a_1^{(N)}(g^{(N)}). \quad (12)$$

Then

$$\langle\Phi_1|H_{eff}(\lambda_1^{(N)})P|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle = \mathcal{F}(\lambda^{(N-\infty)}). \quad (13)$$

The r.h.s. of Eq. (13) can be worked out explicitly by using $H^{(N-1)}$ given by Eq. (6) in the expression of $H_{eff}(E = \lambda_1^{(N)})$. The denominator in the second term of $H_{eff}(\lambda_1^{(N)})$ is a scalar quantity since $\dim Q\mathcal{H}^{(N)} = 1$ where N designates the highest lying energy eigenstate of H^0 , $|\Phi_N\rangle$. One gets

$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda^{(N-\infty)}) = \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\infty N}^{(N-\infty)}} + \mathcal{H}_{\infty N}(\lambda_{\infty}^{(N)} - \mathcal{H}_{NN})^{-\infty} \overline{\mathcal{H}_{N\infty}^{(N-\infty)}} \quad (14)$$

with

$$H_{ij} = \langle\Phi_i|H^{(N-1)}|\Phi_j\rangle \quad (15)$$

and

$$\overline{H_{1N}^{(N-1)}} = \langle\Phi_1|H^{(N-1)}P|\Psi_1^{(N)}\rangle \quad (16)$$

and $\overline{H_{N1}^{(N-1)}}$ the transpose of the preceding matrix element. By construction the Hamiltonian $H^{(N-1)}$ depends on the new coupling constant $g^{(N-1)}$. Imposing Eq. (11) leads to a second order equation for $g^{(N-1)}$. One gets explicitly

$$a^{(N-1)}g^{(N-1)^2} + b^{(N-1)}g^{(N-1)} + c^{(N-1)} = 0 \quad (17)$$

where

$$a^{(N-1)} = (H_{1N} - a_1^{(N)}H_{NN})F_{1N} \quad (18)$$

$$b^{(N-1)} = a_1^{(N)}(H_{NN}(\lambda_1^{(N)} - \epsilon_1) + F_{1N}(\lambda_1^{(N)} - \epsilon_N)) \quad (19)$$

$$c^{(N-1)} = -a_1^{(N)}(\lambda_1^{(N)} - \epsilon_1)(\lambda_1^{(N)} - \epsilon_N) \quad (20)$$

and

$$F_{1N} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i^{(N)} H_{Ni} . \quad (21)$$

Since Eq. (17) is non-linear in $g^{(N-1)}$ and has two solutions, $g^{(N-1)}$ is chosen as the one closest to $g^{(N)}$ by continuity. The process can be iterated step by step by projection from the space of dimension $N-1$ to $N-2$ and further, generating subsequently a succession of coupling constants $g^{(k)}$ at each iteration. At each step the projected wavefunction $|P\Psi_1^{(k)}\rangle$ is obtained from $|\Psi_1^{(k)}\rangle$. Going over to the continuum limit if N is large, one can derive a non-linear flow equation

$$\frac{dg}{dx} = \frac{1}{2a(x)g(x) + b(x)} \left(\frac{dc}{dx} + \frac{db}{dx}g(x) - \frac{da}{dx}g(x)^2 \right) \quad (22)$$

where $a(x), b(x), c(x)$ and $g(x)$ are the continuous extensions of the corresponding discrete quantities. Eq. (22) is a non-linear differential equation which a priori can only be solved numerically. It has to be mentioned here that the resolution of the discrete or continuous flow equation requires the knowledge of the lowest eigenvalue and the corresponding exact eigenfunction in the k -dimensional spaces. The eigenvalue may be experimentally known. The eigenvector components are not directly accessible in practice. Information can be obtained from observables like the quadrupole moment of the system in its ground state. They can be fixed rigorously, f.i. by means of a Lanczos diagonalisation procedure which determines the lowest eigenvalue and eigenvector.

The present analysis shows how renormalisation concepts enter the problem of space reduction in the many-body problem.

System at finite temperature T . We consider now the case of a quantum many-body system at temperature $T = \beta^{-1}$. If the dimension of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ is k its canonical partition function reads

$$Z^{(k)}(g_1^{(k)}, g_2^{(k)}, \dots, g_p^{(k)}) = \text{Tr}_k e^{-\beta H^{(k)}} \quad (23)$$

if the Hamiltonian contains p coupling constants. Going over to $\mathcal{H}^{(k-1)}$ one imposes

$$Z^{(k)} = Z^{(k-1)} . \quad (24)$$

In the limit where β gets very large the trace reduces to the contribution of the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{(k)}$ [22] constrained to stay constant through the dimensional reduction process induced by Eq. (24).

Using the Trotter formula [22]

$$e^{-\beta H^{(k)}} \approx \left(1 - \frac{\beta H^{(k)}}{n}\right)^n \quad (25)$$

for n going to infinity and recalling Eq. (7) the trace defined in Eq. (23) gets a polynomial of order n in $g^{(k)}$

$$Z^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i^{(k)} g^{(k)i} \quad (26)$$

where $\{h_i^{(k)}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ are fixed real coefficients. Eq. (24) leads to

$$\sum_{i=0}^n h_i^{(k)} g^{(k)i} = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i^{(k-1)} g^{(k-1)i} . \quad (27)$$

Going to the continuum limit $k \mapsto x$ and taking the derivative of the partition function leads to the flow equation

$$\frac{dg(x)}{dx} = - \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{dh_i(x)}{dx} g^i(x)}{\sum_{i=0}^n i h_i(x) g^{i-1}(x)} . \quad (28)$$

Since n has to be very large in practice one may go over to an integral formulation of the discrete sums.

Exceptional points and fixed points. As a last interesting point we finally address the problem concerning the divergence of perturbation expansions and the existence of fixed points of the running coupling constant $g(x)$.

It has been rigorously established that the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(g)$ of $H(g) = H_0 + gH_1$ are analytic functions of g with only algebraic singularities [20]. They get singular at so called exceptional points $g = g_e$ which are first order branch points in the complex g -plane. Branch points appear if two (or more) eigenvalues get degenerate. This can happen if g can take values such that $H_{kk} = H_{ll}$ which corresponds to a so-called level crossing. As a consequence, if a level belonging to the $\mathcal{PH}$ subspace defined above crosses a level lying in the complementary $\mathcal{QH}$ subspace the perturbation development constructed from $H_{eff}(E)$ diverges [13]. Exceptional points are defined as the solutions of

$$f(\lambda(g_e)) = \det[H(g_e) - \lambda(g_e)I] = 0 \quad (29)$$

and

$$\left. \frac{df(\lambda(g_e))}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=\lambda(g_e)} = 0 \quad (30)$$

where $f(\lambda(g))$ is the secular determinant. It is now possible to show that exceptional points are connected to fixed points corresponding to $dg/dx = 0$ in specific cases. If $\{\lambda_i(g)\}$ are the set of eigenvalues the secular equation can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^N (\lambda - \lambda_i) = 0 . \quad (31)$$

Consider $\lambda = \lambda_p$ which satisfies Eq. (29). Then Eq. (30) can only be satisfied if there exists another eigenvalue $\lambda_q = \lambda_p$, hence if the spectrum is degenerate. This is the case at an exceptional point. Going back to the algorithm described above consider the case where the eigenvalue λ_1 gets degenerate with some other eigenvalue $\lambda_i^{(k)}(g = g_e)$ at some step k in the space reduction process. Since λ_1 is constrained to be constant,

$$\lambda_i^{(k)}(g_e) = \lambda_i^{(l)}(g'_e) \quad (32)$$

which is realised in any projected subspace of size k and l containing states $|\Phi_1\rangle$ and $|\Phi_i\rangle$. Going over to the continuum limit and considering the subspaces of dimension x and $x + dx$ one can write

$$\frac{d\lambda_1}{dx} = 0 = \frac{d\lambda_i(x)}{dx} . \quad (33)$$

Consequently

$$\frac{d\lambda_i}{dg_e} \frac{dg_e}{dx} = 0 . \quad (34)$$

Due to the Wigner - Neumann avoided crossing rule the degeneracy of eigenvalues is generally not fulfilled for real values of the coupling constant. There exist however specific situations, like systems with special symmetry properties [13, 25, 26] or infinite systems [23] for which degeneracy can occur. In these cases Eq. (34) is realised if

$$\frac{dg_e}{dx} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\lambda_i}{dg_e} \neq 0 \quad (35)$$

The second relation works if crossing takes place and g_e is a fixed point in the sense of renormalisation theory.

Eq. (35) shows the connection between exceptional and fixed points in the framework of the present approach. Ground state degeneracy is indeed a signature for the existence of phase transitions [21], perturbation expansions break down at transition points. In fact, one identifies in the present result the properties of a quantum phase transition which is induced by level crossing [23, 24]. The ground state wavefunction changes its properties when the (real) coupling constant g crosses the exceptional point g_e . There the eigenstates exchange the main components of their projection on the set of basis states $|\Phi_i\rangle, i = 1, \dots, N$.

Conclusions In summary, we developed a non-perturbative effective theory of the bound state many-body quantum problem based on a reduction process of the dimensions of the initial Hilbert space. The central point concerns the renormalisation of the coupling constant(s) which characterise(s) the initial Hamiltonian under the constraint that the lowest eigenenergy is known. We presented a projection approach for the case of a system at temperature $T = 0$ and constructed the flow equation for the coupling constant. We worked out the case of a system at finite temperature. Finally we showed the relationship between exceptional points corresponding to level crossings in the spectrum where perturbation expansions break down and fixed points of the coupling constants which characterise phase transitions.

The present approach can be straightforwardly extended to the case of an Hamiltonian characterised by several coupling constants. Effective expressions of observables other than the energy and flow equations for

the physical constants which characterise them can be worked out.

I acknowledge interesting and fruitful discussions with J. Polonyi and A. Kenoufi which revived my interest in this subject and inspired the present work. I gratefully thank J. M. Carmona and M. Henkel for their careful reading of the manuscript, comments and advices.

-
- [1] B. H. Brandow, Rev. Mod. Phys. **39** (1967) 711
 - [2] T. T. S. Kuo, S. Y. Lee and K. F. Ratcliff, Nucl. Phys. **A176** (1971) 65
 - [3] M. B. Johnson and M. Baranger, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **62** (1971) 172
 - [4] G. Oberlechner, F. Owono N'Guema, J. Richert, Il Nuovo Cimento **B68** (1970) 23
 - [5] T. H. Schucan and H. A. Weidenmuller, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **73** (1972) 108
 - [6] B. R. Barrett and M. Kirson Nucl. Phys. **A148** (1970) 145 ; Nucl. Phys. **A196** (1972) 638 erratum
 - [7] K. Suzuki and S. Y. Lee, Prog. Theor. Phys. vol. 64 (1980) 2091
 - [8] H. Kummel, K. H. Luhrmann, J. G. Zabolitzky, Phys. Rep. **38C** (1978) 1
 - [9] H. M. Hofmann, S. Y. Lee, J. Richert, H. A. Weidenmuller, and T. H. Schucan, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **85** (1974) 410
 - [10] G. E. Brown and T. T. S. Kuo, Nucl. Phys. **A92** (1967) 481
 - [11] B. A. Brown and B. H. Wildenthal, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. **32** (1982) 65
 - [12] S. Bogner, T. T. S. Kuo, L. Coraggio, A. Covello and N. Itaco, Phys. Rev. **C65** (2002) 051301 (R)
 - [13] T. H. Schucan and H. A. Weidenmuller, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **76** (1973) 483
 - [14] S. D. Glazek and Kenneth G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 3558
 - [15] J. Rau, cond-mat/0110061
 - [16] H. Mueller, J. Piekarewicz and J. R. Shepard, nucl-th/0110061
 - [17] K. W. Becker, A. Huebsch and T. Sommer, cond-mat/0208351
 - [18] H. Feshbach, Nuclear Spectroscopy part B (1960), Academic Press
 - [19] C. Bloch and J. Horowitz, Nucl. Phys. **8** (1958) 91
 - [20] T. Kato, "Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators", Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1966
 - [21] T. D. Schultz, D. C. Mattis and E. H. Lieb, Rev. Mod. Phys. **36** (1964) 856
 - [22] Y. Avishai and J. Richert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 1175
 - [23] S. Sachdev, "Quantum Phase Transitions", Cambridge University Press, 1999
 - [24] S. Sachdev, cond-mat/0009456 v2
 - [25] see f.i. M. Henkel and J. Lacki, Phys. Lett. **138A** (1989) 105
 - [26] E. A. Yuzbashyan, B. L. Altshuler and B. S. Shastry, J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen. **35** (2002) 7525